



IFW 2134

TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)		Application No.	09/672,367
		Filing Date	September 28, 2000
		First Named Inventor	Francis X. McKeen
		Art Unit	2134
		Examiner Name	Thomas M. Ho
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	13	Attorney Docket Number	42390P9578

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amendment / Response <input type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement <input type="checkbox"/> PTO/SB/08 <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application <input type="checkbox"/> Basic Filing Fee <input type="checkbox"/> Declaration/POA <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund <input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Table on CD	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to TC <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin-top: 5px;">-return receipt postcard</div>
Remarks		

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT	
Firm or Individual name	Thomas M. Coester, Reg. No. 39,637 BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP
Signature	<i>Thomas Coester</i>
Date	August 16, 2006

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION			
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.			
Typed or printed name	Amber D. Saunders		
Signature	<i>Amber D. Saunders</i>	Date	August 16, 2006



FEE TRANSMITTAL for FY 2005

Patent fees are subject to annual revision.

Complete if Known

Application Number	09/672,367
Filing Date	September 28, 2000
First Named Inventor	Francis X. McKeen
Examiner Name	Thomas M. Ho
Art Unit	2134
Attorney Docket No.	42390P9578

☐ Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27.

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$) 0.00

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)

☐ Check ☐ Credit card ☐ Money Order ☒ None ☐ Other (please identify): _____

☒ Deposit Account Deposit Account Number: 02-2666 Deposit Account Name: Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman LLP

For the above-identified deposit account, the Director is hereby authorized to: (check all that apply)

☐ Charge fee(s) indicated below ☐ Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee
☒ Charge any additional fee(s) or underpayment of fee(s) ☒ Credit any overpayments
under 37 CFR §§ 1.16, 1.17, 1.18 and 1.20.

FEE CALCULATION

1. EXTRA CLAIM FEES

Total Claims	Extra Claims	Fee from below	Fee Paid
22	22*	0	\$0.00
3	3*	0	\$0.00

Multiple Dependent

Large Entity	Small Entity	Fee Description
Fee Code	Fee Code	
1202 50	2202 25	Claims in excess of 20
1201 200	2201 100	Independent claims in excess of 3
1203 360	2203 180	Multiple Dependent claim, if not paid
1204 790	2204 395	**Reissue independent claims over original patent
1205 300	2205 150	**Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent
SUBTOTAL (1)		(\$) 0.00

*or number previously paid, if greater, For Reissues, see below

2. ADDITIONAL FEES

Large Entity	Small Entity	Fee Description
Fee Code	Fee Code	
1051 130	2051 65	Surcharge - late filing fee or oath
1052 50	2052 25	Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet.
2053 130	2053 130	Non-English specification
1251 120	2251 60	Extension for reply within first month
1252 450	2252 225	Extension for reply within second month
1253 1,020	2253 510	Extension for reply within third month
1254 1,590	2254 795	Extension for reply within fourth month
1255 2,160	2255 1,080	Extension for reply within fifth month
1401 500	2401 250	Notice of Appeal
1402 500	2402 250	Filing a brief in support of an appeal
1403 1,000	2403 500	Request for oral hearing
1451 1,510	2451 1,510	Petition to institute a public use proceeding
1460 130	2460 130	Petitions to the Commissioner
1807 50	1807 50	Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q)
1806 180	1806 180	Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt
1809 790	1809 395	Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR § 1.129(a))
1810 790	2810 395	For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR § 1.129(b))

Other fee (specify) _____

SUBTOTAL (2)

(\$)

Fee Paid

SUBMITTED BY

Name (Print/Type) Thomas M. Coester

Registration No.
(Attorney/Agent)

39,637

Telephone

(310) 207-3800

Signature

Thomas Coester

Date

08/16/06



PATENT
Attorney Docket No. 042390.P9578

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Francis X. McKeen et al.

Application No.: 09/672,367

Filed: September 28, 2000

For: **A MECHANISM TO SECURE
COMPUTER OUTPUT FROM
SOFTWARE ATTACK USING
ISOLATED EXECUTION**

Examiner: Thomas M. Ho

Art Unit: 2134

Confirmation No.: 7649

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

In response to the Office Action mailed June 7, 2006, in connection with the above referenced patent application, Applicants respectfully request entry of the following amendments and request reconsideration in view of the following remarks.